

DEGREE BOUNDS FOR SEPARATING INVARIANTS

MARTIN KOHLS AND HANSPETER KRAFT

ABSTRACT. If V is a representation of a linear algebraic group G , a set S of G -invariant regular functions on V is called *separating* if the following holds: *If two elements $v, v' \in V$ can be separated by an invariant function, then there is an $f \in S$ such that $f(v) \neq f(v')$.* It is known that there always exist finite separating sets. Moreover, if the group G is finite, then the invariant functions of degree $\leq |G|$ form a separating set. We show that for a non-finite linear algebraic group G such an upper bound for the degrees of a separating set does not exist.

If G is finite, we define $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G)$ to be the minimal number d such that for every G -module V there is a separating set of degree $\leq d$. We show that for a subgroup $H \subset G$ we have $\beta_{\text{sep}}(H) \leq \beta_{\text{sep}}(G) \leq [G : H] \cdot \beta_{\text{sep}}(H)$, and that $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G) \leq \beta_{\text{sep}}(G/H) \cdot \beta_{\text{sep}}(H)$ in case H is normal. Moreover, we calculate $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G)$ for some specific finite groups.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be an algebraically closed field of arbitrary characteristic. Let G be a linear algebraic group and X a G -variety, i.e. an affine variety equipped with a (regular) action of G , everything defined over K . We denote by $\mathcal{O}(X)$ the coordinate ring of X and by $\mathcal{O}(X)^G$ the subring of G -invariant regular functions. The following definition is due to DERKSEN and KEMPER [DK02, Definition 2.3.8].

Definition 1. Let X be a G -variety. A subset $S \subset \mathcal{O}(X)^G$ of the invariant ring of X is called *separating* (or *G -separating*) if the following holds:

For any pair $x, x' \in X$, if $f(x) \neq f(x')$ for some $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)^G$ then there is an $h \in S$ such that $h(x) \neq h(x')$.

It is known and easy to see that there always exists a finite separating set (see [DK02, Theorem 2.3.15]).

If V is a G -module, i.e. a finite dimensional K -vector space with a regular linear action of G , we would like to know a priori bounds for the degrees of the elements in a separating set. We denote by $\mathcal{O}(V)_d \subset \mathcal{O}(V)$ the homogeneous functions of degree d (and the zero function), and put $\mathcal{O}(V)_{\leq d} := \bigoplus_{i=0}^d \mathcal{O}(V)_i$.

Definition 2. For a G -module V define

$$\beta_{\text{sep}}(G, V) := \min\{d \mid \mathcal{O}(V)_{\leq d} \text{ is } G\text{-separating}\} \in \mathbb{N},$$

and set

$$\beta_{\text{sep}}(G) := \sup\{\beta_{\text{sep}}(G, V) \mid V \text{ a } G\text{-module}\} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

The main results of this note are the following.

Date: June 22, 2010.

Theorem A. *The group G is finite if and only if $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G)$ is finite.*

In order to prove this we will show that $\beta_{\text{sep}}(K^+) = \infty$, that $\beta_{\text{sep}}(K^*) = \infty$, that $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G) = \infty$ for every semisimple group G , and that $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G^0) \leq \beta_{\text{sep}}(G)$ (see section 3, Theorem 1).

Theorem B. *Let G be a finite group and $H \subset G$ a subgroup. Then*

$$\beta_{\text{sep}}(H) \leq \beta_{\text{sep}}(G) \leq [G : H] \beta_{\text{sep}}(H), \text{ and so } \beta_{\text{sep}}(G) \leq |G|.$$

Moreover, if $H \subset G$ is normal, then

$$\beta_{\text{sep}}(G) \leq \beta_{\text{sep}}(G/H) \beta_{\text{sep}}(H).$$

This will be done in section 4 where we formulate and prove a more precise statement (Theorem 2).

Finally, we have the following explicit results for finite groups.

- Theorem C.** (a) *Let $\text{char } K = 2$. Then $\beta_{\text{sep}}(S_3) = 4$.*
 (b) *Let $\text{char } K = p > 0$ and let G be a finite p -group. Then $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G) = |G|$.*
 (c) *Let G be a finite cyclic group. Then $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G) = |G|$.*
 (d) *Assume $\text{char}(K) = p$ is odd, and $r \geq 1$. Then $\beta_{\text{sep}}(D_{2p^r}) = 2p^r$.*

For a reductive group G one knows that the condition $f(x) \neq f(x')$ for some invariant f (in Definition 1) is equivalent to the condition $\overline{Gx} \cap \overline{Gx'} = \emptyset$, see [New78, Corollary 3.5.2]. This gives rise to the following definition.

Definition 3. Let X be a G -variety. A G -invariant morphism $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ where Y is an affine variety is called *separating* (or *G -separating*) if the following condition holds: *For any pair $x, x' \in X$ such that $\overline{Gx} \cap \overline{Gx'} = \emptyset$ we have $\varphi(x) \neq \varphi(x')$.*

Remark 1. If $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ is G -separating and $X' \subset X$ a closed G -stable subvariety, then the induced morphism $\varphi|_{X'}: X' \rightarrow Y$ is also G -separating.

Remark 2. Choose a closed embedding $Y \subset K^m$ and denote by $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ the coordinate functions of $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y \subset K^m$. If φ is separating, then $\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m\}$ is a separating set. The converse holds if G is reductive, but not in general, as shown by the standard representation $K^+ \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(K)$ given by $s \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & s \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ which does not admit a separating morphism.

2. SOME USEFUL RESULTS

We want to recall some facts about the β_{sep} -values, and compare with the results for the classical β -values for generating invariants introduced by SCHMID [Sch91]: $\beta(G)$ is the minimal $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for every G -module V , the invariant ring $\mathcal{O}(V)^G$ is generated by the invariants of degree $\leq d$.

By DERKSEN and KEMPER [DK02, Corollary 3.9.14], we have $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G) \leq |G|$. This is in perfect analogy to the Noether bound, which says $\beta(G) \leq |G|$ in the non-modular case, see [Sch91, Fle00, Fog01]. Of course we have $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G) \leq \beta(G)$, so when good upper bounds for $\beta(G)$ are known, then we have an upper bound for $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G)$.

In characteristic zero and in the non-modular case there are the bounds by SCHMID [Sch91] and by DOMOKOS, HEGEDÜS, and SEZER [DH00, Sez02] which

improve the Noether bound. In particular, [Sez02] shows for non-modular non-cyclic groups G that $\beta(G) \leq \frac{3}{4}|G|$.

For a linear algebraic group G it is shown by BRYANT, DERKSEN and KEMPER [BK05, DK04] that $\beta(G) < \infty$ if and only if G is finite and $p \nmid |G|$ which is the analogon to our Theorem A. For further results on degree bounds, we recommend the overview article of WEHLAU [Weh06].

The following results will be useful in the sequel.

Proposition 1. *Let $H \subset G$ be a closed subgroup, X an affine G -variety and Z an affine H -variety. Let $\iota: Z \rightarrow X$ be an H -equivariant morphism and assume that ι^* induces a surjection $\mathcal{O}(X)^G \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}(Z)^H$. If $S \subset \mathcal{O}(X)^G$ is G -separating, then the image $\iota^*(S) \subset \mathcal{O}(Z)^H$ is H -separating.*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{O}(Z)^H$ and $z_1, z_2 \in Z$ such that $f(z_1) \neq f(z_2)$. By assumption $f = \iota^*(\tilde{f})$ for some $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{O}(X)^G$. Put $x_i := \iota(z_i)$. Then $\tilde{f}(x_1) = f(z_1) \neq f(z_2) = \tilde{f}(x_2)$. Thus we can find an $h \in S$ such that $h(x_1) \neq h(x_2)$. It follows that $\tilde{h} := \iota^*(h) \in \iota^*(S)$ and $\tilde{h}(z_1) = h(x_1) \neq h(x_2) = \tilde{h}(z_2)$. $\square$

Remark 3. In general, the inverse map $(\iota^*)^{-1}$ does not take H -separating sets to G -separating sets. Take $K^+ \subset \mathrm{SL}_2$ as the subgroup of upper triangular unipotent matrices, $X = K^2 \oplus K^2 \oplus K^2$ the sum of three copies of the standard representation of SL_2 and $Z = K^2 \oplus K^2$ the sum of two copies of the standard representation of K^+ . Then $\iota: Z \rightarrow X$, $(v, w) \mapsto ((1, 0), v, w)$ is K^+ -equivariant and induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}(X)^{\mathrm{SL}_2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}(Z)^{K^+}$ (Roberts [Rob61]). In fact, choosing the coordinates $(x_0, x_1, y_0, y_1, z_0, z_1)$ on X and (y_0, y_1, z_0, z_1) on Y , we get from the classical description [dCP76] of the invariants and covariants of copies of K^2 :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}(X)^{\mathrm{SL}_2(K)} &= K[y_1x_0 - y_0x_1, z_1x_0 - z_0x_1, y_1z_0 - y_0z_1], \\ \mathcal{O}(Y)^{K^+} &= K[y_1, z_1, y_1z_0 - y_0z_1], \end{aligned}$$

and the claim follows, because $\iota^*(x_0) = 1, \iota^*(x_1) = 0$.

Now take $S := \{y_1, z_1, y_1(y_1z_0 - y_0z_1), z_1(y_1z_0 - y_0z_1)\} \subset \mathcal{O}(Z)^{K^+}$. We show that S is a K^+ -separating set, but $(\iota^*)^{-1}(S) \subset \mathcal{O}(X)^{\mathrm{SL}_2}$ is not SL_2 -separating. For the first claim one has to use that if y_1 and z_1 both vanish, then the third generator $y_1z_0 - y_0z_1$ of the invariant ring $\mathcal{O}(Y)^{K^+}$ also vanishes. For the second claim we consider the elements $v = ((0, 0), (0, 0), (0, 0))$ and $v' = ((0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1))$ of X , which are separated by the invariants, but not by $(\iota^*)^{-1}(S)$.

For the following application recall that for a closed subgroup $H \subset G$ of finite index the *induced module* $\mathrm{Ind}_H^G V$ of an H -module V is a finite dimensional G -module.

Corollary 1. *Let $H \subset G$ be a closed subgroup of finite index and let V be an H -module. Then $\beta_{\mathrm{sep}}(H, V) \leq \beta_{\mathrm{sep}}(G, \mathrm{Ind}_H^G V)$. In particular, $\beta_{\mathrm{sep}}(H) \leq \beta_{\mathrm{sep}}(G)$.*

Proof. By definition, $\mathrm{Ind}_H^G V$ contains V as an H -submodule in a canonical way. If $n := [G : H]$ and $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^n g_i H$, then $\mathrm{Ind}_H^G V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n g_i V$. Moreover, the inclusion $\iota: V \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Ind}_H^G V$ induces a surjection $\iota^*: \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Ind}_H^G V)^G \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}(V)^H$, $f \mapsto f|_V$. In fact, for $f \in \mathcal{O}(V)^H$, a preimage $\tilde{f}$ is given by $\tilde{f}(g_1 v_1, \dots, g_n v_n) := \sum_{i=1}^n f(v_i)$, $v_i \in V$, which is easily seen to be G -invariant. Now the claim follows from Proposition 1 above, because the restriction map ι^* is linear and so preserves degrees. $\square$

Proposition 2 (DERKSEN and KEMPER [DK02, Theorem 2.3.16]). *Let G be a reductive group, V a G -module and $U \subset V$ a submodule. The restriction map $\mathcal{O}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(U)$, $f \mapsto f|_U$ takes every separating set of $\mathcal{O}(V)^G$ to a separating set of $\mathcal{O}(U)^G$. In particular, we have*

$$\beta_{\text{sep}}(G, U) \leq \beta_{\text{sep}}(G, V).$$

Let us mention here that in positive characteristic the restriction map is in general not surjective when restricted to the invariants, and so a generating set is not necessarily mapped onto a generating set.

We finally remark that for finite groups there is a G -module V such $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G, V) = \beta_{\text{sep}}(G)$. The same holds for the β -values in characteristic zero.

Proposition 3. *Let G be a finite group and $V_{\text{reg}} = KG$ its regular representation. Then*

$$\beta_{\text{sep}}(G) = \beta_{\text{sep}}(G, V_{\text{reg}}).$$

In fact, every G -module V can be embedded as a submodule in $V_{\text{reg}}^{\dim V}$. Since, by [DKW08, Corollary 3.7], $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G, V^m) = \beta_{\text{sep}}(G, V)$ for any G -module V and every positive integer m , the claim follows from Proposition 2.

3. THE CASE OF NON-FINITE ALGEBRAIC GROUPS

In this section we prove the following theorem which is equivalent to Theorem A from the first section.

Theorem 1. *For any non-finite linear algebraic group G we have $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G) = \infty$.*

We start with the additive group K^+ . Denote by $V = Ke_0 \oplus Ke_1 \simeq K^2$ the standard 2-dimensional K^+ -module: $s \cdot e_0 := e_0$, $s \cdot e_1 := se_0 + e_1$ for $s \in K^+$. If $\text{char } K = p > 0$ we can “twist” the module V with the Frobenius map $F^n: K^+ \rightarrow K^+$, $s \mapsto s^{p^n}$ to obtain another K^+ -module which we denote by V_{F^n} .

Proposition 4. *Let $\text{char } K = p > 0$ and consider the K^+ -module $W := V \oplus V_{F^n}$. We write $\mathcal{O}(W) = K[x_0, x_1, y_0, y_1]$. Then $\mathcal{O}(W)^{K^+} = K[x_1, y_1, x_0^{p^n} y_1 - x_1^{p^n} y_0]$. In particular, $\beta_{\text{sep}}(K^+, W) = p^n + 1$ and so $\beta_{\text{sep}}(K^+) = \infty$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that $f := x_0^{p^n} y_1 - x_1^{p^n} y_0$ is K^+ -invariant. Define the K^+ -invariant morphism

$$\pi: W \rightarrow K^3, \quad w = (a_0, a_1, b_0, b_1) \mapsto (a_1, b_1, a_0^{p^n} b_1 - a_1^{p^n} b_0).$$

Over the affine open set $U := \{(c_1, c_2, c_3) \in K^3 \mid c_1 \neq 0\}$, the induced map $\pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is a trivial K^+ -bundle. In fact, the morphism $\rho: U \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(U)$ given by $(c_1, c_2, c_3) \mapsto (0, c_1, -c_1^{-p^n} c_3, c_2)$ is a section of π , inducing a K^+ -equivariant isomorphism $K^+ \times U \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi^{-1}(U)$, $(s, u) \mapsto s \cdot \rho(u)$. This implies that $\mathcal{O}(W)_{x_1}^{K^+} = K[x_1, x_1^{-1}, y_1, f]$, hence $\mathcal{O}(W)^{K^+} = K[x_0, x_1, y_0, y_1] \cap K[x_1, x_1^{-1}, y_1, f]$, and the claim follows easily. $\square$

If K has characteristic zero, we need a different argument. Denote by $V_n := S^n V$ the n th symmetric power of the standard K^+ -module $V = Ke_0 \oplus Ke_1$ (see above). This module is cyclic of dimension $n + 1$, i.e. $V_n = \langle K^+ v_n \rangle$ where $v_n := e_1^n$, and for any $s \in K^+$, $s \neq 0$, the endomorphism $v \mapsto sv - v$ of V_n is nilpotent of rank n . In particular, $V_n^{K^+} = Kv_0$ where $v_0 := e_0^n \in V_n$.

Remark 4. For $q \geq 1$ consider the q th symmetric power $S^q V_n$ of the module V_n . Then the cyclic submodule $\langle K^+ v_n^q \rangle \subset S^q V_n$ generated by v_n^q is K^+ -isomorphic to V_{qn} , and $\langle K^+ v_n^q \rangle^{K^+} = K v_0^q$. One way to see this is by remarking that the modules V_n are $\mathrm{SL}_2(K)$ -modules in a natural way, and then to use representation theory of $\mathrm{SL}_2(K)$.

Proposition 5. *Let $\mathrm{char} K = 0$. Consider the K^+ -module $W = V^* \oplus V_n$ and the two vectors $w := (x_0, v_0)$ and $w' := (x_0, 0)$ of W . Then there is a K^+ -invariant function $f \in \mathcal{O}(W)^{K^+}$ separating w and w' , and any such f has degree $\deg f \geq n + 1$. In particular, $\beta_{\mathrm{sep}}(K^+, W) \geq n + 1$, and so $\beta_{\mathrm{sep}}(K^+) = \infty$.*

Proof. Let U_1, U_2 be two finite dimensional vector spaces. There is a canonical isomorphism

$$\Psi: \mathcal{O}(U_1^* \oplus U_2)_{(p,q)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}(S^q U_2, S^p U_1)$$

where $\mathcal{O}(U_1^* \oplus U_2)_{(p,q)}$ denotes the subspace of those regular functions on $U_1^* \oplus U_2$ which are bihomogeneous of degree (p, q) . If $F = \Psi(f)$, then for any $x \in U_1^*$ and $u \in U_2$ we have

$$f(x, u) = x^p(F(u^q)).$$

(Since we are in characteristic 0 we can identify $S^p(U_1^*)$ with $(S^p U_1)^*$.) Moreover, if U_1, U_2 are G -modules, then Ψ is G -equivariant and induces an isomorphism between the G -invariant bihomogeneous functions and the G -linear homomorphisms:

$$\Psi: \mathcal{O}(U_1^* \oplus U_2)_{(p,q)}^G \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_G(S^q U_2, S^p U_1).$$

For the K^+ -module $W = V^* \oplus V_n$ we thus obtain an isomorphism

$$\Psi: \mathcal{O}(V^* \oplus V_n)_{(p,q)}^{K^+} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{K^+}(S^q V_n, S^p V).$$

Putting $p = n$ and $q = 1$ and defining $f \in \mathcal{O}(V^* \oplus V_n)_{(n,1)}^{K^+}$ by $\Psi(f) = \mathrm{Id}_{V_n}$, we get $f(w) = f(x_0, v_0) = x_0^n(v_0) = x_0^n(e_0^n) \neq 0$, and $f(w') = f(x_0, 0) = 0$. Hence w and w' can be separated by invariants.

Now let f be a K^+ -invariant separating w and w' where $\deg f = d$. We can clearly assume that f is bihomogeneous, say of degree (p, q) where $p + q = d$. Because f must depend on V_n , we have $q \geq 1$. Hence $f(w') = f(x_0, 0) = 0$, and so $f(w) = f(x_0, v_0) \neq 0$. This implies for $F := \Psi(f)$ that $F(v_0^q) \neq 0$. Now it follows from Remark 4 above that F induces an injective map of $\langle K^+ v_n^q \rangle$ into $S^p V$, and so

$$p + 1 = \dim S^p V \geq \dim \langle K^+ v_n^q \rangle = qn + 1 \geq n + 1.$$

Hence $\deg f = p + q \geq n + 1$. $\square$

To handle the general case we use the following construction. Let G be an algebraic group and $H \subset G$ a closed subgroup. We assume that H is reductive. For an affine H -variety X we define

$$G \times^H X := (G \times X) // H := \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(G \times X)^H)$$

where H acts (freely) on the product $G \times X$ by $h(g, x) := (gh^{-1}, hx)$, commuting with the action of G by left multiplication on the first factor. We denote by $[g, x]$ the image of $(g, x) \in G \times X$ in the quotient $G \times^H X$.

The following is well-known. It follows from general results from geometric invariant theory, see e.g. [MFK94].

- (a) The canonical morphism $G \times^H X \rightarrow G/H$, $[g, x] \mapsto gH$, is a fiber bundle (in the étale topology) with fiber X .

- (b) If the action of H on X extends to an action of G , then $G \times^H X \xrightarrow{\sim} G/H \times X$ where G acts diagonally on $G/H \times X$ (i.e. the fiber bundle is trivial).
- (c) The canonical morphism $\iota: X \hookrightarrow G \times^H X$ given by $x \mapsto [e, x]$ is an H -equivariant closed embedding.

Lemma 1. *If $\varphi: G \times^H X \rightarrow Y$ is G -separating, then the composite morphism $\varphi \circ \iota: X \rightarrow Y$ is H -separating. Moreover, if $S \subset \mathcal{O}(G \times^H X)^G$ is a G -separating set, then its image $\iota^*(S) \subset \mathcal{O}(X)^H$ is H -separating.*

Proof. For $x \in X$ we have $\overline{G[e, x]} = [G, \overline{Hx}]$. Therefore, if $\overline{Hx} \cap \overline{Hx'} = \emptyset$, then $\overline{G[e, x]} \cap \overline{G[e, x']} = \emptyset$ and so $\varphi \circ \iota(x) = \varphi([e, x]) \neq \varphi([e, x']) = \varphi \circ \iota(x')$. The second claim follows from Proposition 1, because $\mathcal{O}(G \times^H X)^G = \mathcal{O}(G \times X)^{G \times H} = \mathcal{O}(X)^H$ and so ι^* induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}(G \times^H X)^G \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}(X)^H$. $\square$

Now let V be a G -module and $X := V|_H$, the underlying H -module. Choose a closed G -equivariant embedding $G/H \xrightarrow{\sim} Gw_0 \hookrightarrow W$ where W is a G -module. Then we get the following composition of closed embeddings where the first one is H -equivariant and the remaining are G -equivariant:

$$\mu: V|_H \hookrightarrow G \times^H V \xrightarrow{\sim} G/H \times V \hookrightarrow W \times V.$$

The map μ is given by $\mu(v) = (w_0, v)$. It follows from Lemma 1 and Remark 1 that for any G -separating morphism $\varphi: W \times V \rightarrow Y$ the composition $\varphi \circ \mu: V|_H \rightarrow Y$ is H -separating. In particular, if G is reductive, then for any G -separating set $S \subset \mathcal{O}(W \times V)$ the image $\mu^*(S) \subset \mathcal{O}(V)^H$ is H -separating. Since $\deg \mu^*(f) \leq \deg f$ this implies the following result.

Proposition 6. *Let G be a reductive group, $H \subset G$ a closed reductive subgroup and V' an H -module. If V' is isomorphic to an H -submodule of a G -module V , then*

$$\beta_{\text{sep}}(H, V') \leq \beta_{\text{sep}}(G).$$

Now we can prove the main result of this section,

Proof of Theorem 1. By Proposition 1 we can assume that G is connected.

(a) Let G be semisimple, $T \subset G$ a maximal torus and $B \supset T$ a Borel subgroup. If $\lambda \in X(T)$ is dominant we denote by E^λ the Weyl-module of G of highest weight λ , and by $D^\lambda \subset E^\lambda$ the highest weight line. Choose a one-parameter subgroup $\rho: K^* \rightarrow T$ and define $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ by $\rho(t)u = t^{k_0} \cdot u$ for $u \in D^\lambda$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ put

$$V'_n := (D^\lambda)^* \oplus D^{n\lambda} \subset V_n := (E^\lambda)^* \oplus E^{n\lambda}.$$

Then V'_n is a two-dimensional K^* -module with weights $(-k_0, nk_0)$. Hence $\mathcal{O}(V'_n)^{K^*}$ is generated by a homogeneous invariant of degree $n+1$ and so $\beta_{\text{sep}}(K^*, V'_n) = n+1$. Now Proposition 6 implies

$$n+1 = \beta_{\text{sep}}(K^*, V'_n) \leq \beta_{\text{sep}}(G)$$

and the claim follows. In addition, we have also shown that $\beta_{\text{sep}}(K^*) = \infty$.

(b) If G admits a non-trivial character $\chi: G \rightarrow K^*$ then the claim follows because $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G) \geq \beta_{\text{sep}}(K^*) = \infty$, as we have seen in (a).

(c) If the character group of G is trivial, then either G is unipotent or there is a surjective homomorphism $G \rightarrow H$ where H is semisimple (use [Spr98, Corollary 8.1.6 (ii)]). In the first case there is a surjective homomorphism $G \rightarrow K^+$ and the

claim follows from Proposition 4 and Proposition 5. In the second case the claim follows from (a). $\square$

4. RELATIVE DEGREE BOUNDS

In this section all groups are finite. We want to prove the following result which covers Theorem B from the first section.

Theorem 2. *Let G be a finite group, $H \subset G$ a subgroup, V a G -module and W an H -module. Then*

$$\beta_{\text{sep}}(H, W) \leq \beta_{\text{sep}}(G, \text{Ind}_H^G W) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_{\text{sep}}(G, V) \leq [G : H] \beta_{\text{sep}}(H, V).$$

In particular

$$\beta_{\text{sep}}(H) \leq \beta_{\text{sep}}(G) \leq [G : H] \beta_{\text{sep}}(H), \quad \text{and so} \quad \beta_{\text{sep}}(G) \leq |G|.$$

Moreover, if $H \subset G$ is normal, then

$$\beta_{\text{sep}}(G) \leq \beta_{\text{sep}}(G/H) \beta_{\text{sep}}(H).$$

Note that the inequalities $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G, V) \leq [G : H] \beta_{\text{sep}}(H, V)$ and $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G) \leq |G|$ were already proved by DERKSEN and KEMPER ([Kem09, Corollary 24], [DK02, Corollary 3.9.14]).

The proof needs some preparation. Let V, W be finite dimensional vector spaces and $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ a morphism.

Definition 4. The *degree of φ* is defined in the following way, generalizing the degree of a polynomial function. Choose a basis $(w_1, \dots, w_m)$ of W , so that $\varphi(v) = \sum_{j=1}^m f_j(v) w_j$ for $v \in V$. Then

$$\deg \varphi := \max\{\deg f_j \mid j = 1, \dots, m\}.$$

It is easy to see that this is independent of the choice of a basis.

If V is a G -module and $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ a separating morphism, then $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G, V) \leq \deg \varphi$. Moreover, there is a separating morphism $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ for some W such that $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G, V) = \deg \varphi$.

For any (finite dimensional) vector space W we regard $W^d = W \otimes K^d$ as the direct sum of $\dim W$ copies of the standard $\mathcal{S}_d$ -module K^d . In this case we have the following result due to DRAISMA, KEMPER and WEHLAU [DKW08, Theorem 3.4].

Lemma 2. *The polarizations of the elementary symmetric functions form an $\mathcal{S}_d$ -separating set of W^d . In particular, there is an $\mathcal{S}_d$ -separating morphism $\psi_W : W^d \rightarrow K^N$ of degree $\leq d$.*

Recall that the polarizations of a function $f \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ to n copies of U are defined in the following way. Write

$$f(t_1 u_1 + t_2 u_2 + \dots + t_n u_n) = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} t_1^{i_1} t_2^{i_2} \dots t_n^{i_n} f_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)$$

Then the functions $f_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \in \mathcal{O}(U^n)$ are called *polarizations of f* . Clearly, $\deg f_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} \leq \deg f$. Moreover, if U is a G -module and f a G -invariant, then all $f_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}$ are G -invariants with respect to the diagonal action of G on U^n .

Proof of Theorem 2. The first inequality $\beta_{\text{sep}}(H, W) \leq \beta_{\text{sep}}(G, \text{Ind}_H^G W)$ is shown in Proposition 1.

Let V be a G -module, $v, w \in V$, and let $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$ be an H -separating morphism of degree $\beta_{\text{sep}}(H, V)$. Consider the partition of G into H -right cosets: $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^d Hg_i$ where $d := [G : H]$. Define the following morphism

$$\bar{\varphi}: V \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}} W^d \xrightarrow{\psi_W} K^N$$

where $\tilde{\varphi}(v) := (\varphi(g_1 v), \dots, \varphi(g_d v))$ and $\psi_W: W^d \rightarrow K^N$ is the separating morphism from Lemma 2.

We claim that $\bar{\varphi}$ is G -separating. In fact, for $g \in G$ define the permutation $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_d$ by $Hg_i g = Hg_{\sigma(i)}$, i.e. $g_i g = h_i g_{\sigma(i)}$ for a suitable $h_i \in H$. Then $\varphi(g_i g v) = \varphi(h_i g_{\sigma(i)} v) = \varphi(g_{\sigma(i)} v)$ and so $\tilde{\varphi}(g v) = \sigma^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}(v)$. This shows that $\bar{\varphi}$ is G -invariant.

Assume now that $g v \neq w$ for all $g \in G$. This implies that $h g_i v \neq w$ for all $h \in H$ and $i = 1, \dots, d$, and so $\varphi(g_i v) \neq \varphi(w)$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$, because φ is H -separating. As a consequence, $\tilde{\varphi}(v) \neq \sigma \tilde{\varphi}(w)$ for all permutations $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_d$, hence $\bar{\varphi}(v) \neq \bar{\varphi}(w)$, because ψ_W is $\mathcal{S}_d$ -separating, and so $\bar{\varphi}$ is G -separating.

For the degree we get $\deg \bar{\varphi} \leq \deg \psi_W \cdot \deg \tilde{\varphi} \leq d \cdot \deg \varphi = [G : H] \beta_{\text{sep}}(H, V)$. This shows that

$$\beta_{\text{sep}}(G, V) \leq [G : H] \beta_{\text{sep}}(H, V).$$

If $H \subset G$ is normal we can find an H -separating morphism $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$ of degree $\beta_{\text{sep}}(H, V)$ such that W is a G/H -module and φ is G -equivariant. Now choose an G/H -separating morphism $\psi: W \rightarrow U$ of degree $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G/H, W)$. Then the composition $\psi \circ \varphi: V \rightarrow U$ is G -separating of degree $\leq \deg \psi \cdot \deg \varphi$. Thus

$$\beta_{\text{sep}}(G, V) \leq \beta_{\text{sep}}(G/H, W) \beta_{\text{sep}}(H, V) \leq \beta_{\text{sep}}(G/H) \beta_{\text{sep}}(H),$$

and the claim follows. $\square$

5. DEGREE BOUNDS FOR SOME FINITE GROUPS

In principal, Proposition 3 allows to compute $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G)$ for any finite group G . Unfortunately, the invariant ring $\mathcal{O}(V_{\text{reg}})^G$ does not behave well in a computational sense. We have been able to compute $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G)$ with MAGMA [BCP97] and the algorithm of [Kem03] in just one case (computation time about 20 minutes):

Proposition 7 (MAGMA and Proposition 3). *Let $\text{char } K = 2$. Then $\beta_{\text{sep}}(S_3) = 4$.*

Proposition 8. *Let $\text{char } K = p > 0$ and let G be a p -group. Then $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G) = |G|$.*

Proof. Let us start with a general remark. Let G be an arbitrary finite group, and let V be a *permutation module* of G , i.e. there is a basis $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$ of V which is permuted under G . Then the invariants are linearly spanned by the *orbit sums* s_m of the monomials $m = x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} \cdots x_n^{i_n} \in \mathcal{O}(V) = K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ which are defined in the usual way:

$$s_m := \sum_{f \in Gm} f$$

The value of s_m on the fixed point $v := v_1 + v_2 + \cdots + v_n \in V$ equals $|Gm|$. Hence, $s_m(v) = 0$ if p divides the index $[G : G_m]$ of the stabilizer G_m of m in G . It follows that for a p -group G we have $s_m(v) \neq 0$ if and only if m is invariant under G .

If, in addition, G acts transitively on the basis $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$, then an invariant monomial m is a power of $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n$, and thus has degree $\ell n \geq \dim V$. If we apply this to the regular representation, the claim follows. $\square$

With Corollary 1 we get the next result.

Corollary 2. *Let $\text{char } K = p > 0$ and G be a group of order rp^k with $(r, p) = 1$. Then $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G) \geq p^k$.*

Proposition 9. *Let G be a cyclic group. Then $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G) = |G|$.*

Proof. Let $|G| = rp^k$ where $(r, p) = 1$ and choose two elements $g, h \in G$ of order r and $q := p^k$, respectively, so that $G = \langle g, h \rangle$. We define a linear action of G on $V := \bigoplus_{i=1}^q Kv_i$ by

$$gv_i := \zeta \cdot v_i \text{ and } hv_i := v_{i+1} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, q$$

where $\zeta \in K$ is a primitive r th root of unity and $v_{q+1} := v_1$. We claim that the G -invariants $\mathcal{O}(V)^G$ are linearly spanned by the orbit sums s_m where $r \mid \deg m$. In fact, $\mathcal{O}(V)^{\langle g \rangle}$ is linearly spanned by the monomials of degree ℓr ($\ell \geq 0$), and the subgroup $H := \langle h \rangle \subset G$ permutes these monomials.

Now look again at the element $v := v_1 + v_2 + \cdots + v_q \in V$. If $r \mid \deg m$ then $s_m(v) = |Hm|$, and this is non-zero if and only if the monomial m is invariant under H . This implies that m is a power of $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_q$. Since the degree of m is also a multiple of r we finally get $\deg s_m \geq rq = |G|$. $\square$

Corollary 3. *Let G be a finite group. Then we have*

$$\beta_{\text{sep}}(G) \geq \max_{g \in G} (\text{ord } g).$$

Let $D_{2n} = \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle$ denote the dihedral group of order $2n$ with $\text{ord}(\sigma) = 2$, $\text{ord}(\rho) = n$ and $\sigma\rho\sigma^{-1} = \rho^{-1}$.

Proposition 10. *Assume that $\text{char}(K) = p$ is an odd prime, and let $r \geq 1$. Then $\beta_{\text{sep}}(D_{2p^r}) = 2p^r$.*

Note that if $\text{char}(K) = p = 2$, then D_{2p^r} is a 2-group, so $\beta_{\text{sep}}(D_{2p^r}) = 2^{r+1}$ by Proposition 8. We conjecture that for $\text{char}(K) = 2$ and p an odd prime, we have $\beta_{\text{sep}}(D_{2p}) = p + 1$, which would fit with Proposition 7.

Proof. Put $q = p^r$ and define a linear action of D_{2p^r} on $V := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{q-1} Kv_i$ by

$$\rho v_i = v_{i+1} \text{ and } \sigma v_i = -v_{-i} \text{ for } i = 0, 1, \dots, q-1$$

where $v_j = v_i$ if $j \equiv i \pmod q$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. As before, the invariants under $H := \langle \rho \rangle$ are linearly spanned by the orbit sums $s_m := \sum_{f \in Hm} f$ of the monomials $m = x_0^{i_0} x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_{q-1}^{i_{q-1}} \in \mathcal{O}(V) = K[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{q-1}]$. Thus, the D_{2p^r} -invariants are linearly spanned by the functions $\{s_m + \sigma s_m \mid m \text{ a monomial}\}$.

For $v := v_0 + v_1 + \cdots + v_{q-1}$ we get $\sigma s_m(v) = s_m(\sigma v) = (-1)^{\deg m} s_m(v)$. Therefore, $s_m + \sigma s_m$ is non-zero on v if and only if $s_m(v) \neq 0$ and the degree of m is even. As in the proof of Proposition 9, $s_m(v) \neq 0$ implies that m is a power of $x_0 x_1 \cdots x_{q-1}$ which has to be an even power since q is odd. Thus, for $m := (x_0 x_1 \cdots x_{q-1})^2$, $s_m + \sigma s_m = 2m$ is an invariant of smallest possible degree, namely $2q$, which does not vanish on v . $\square$

Let $I_H := \mathcal{O}(V)_+^G \mathcal{O}(V)$ denote the *Hilbert-ideal*, i.e. the ideal in $\mathcal{O}(V)$ generated by all homogeneous invariants of positive degree. It is conjectured by DERKSEN and KEMPER that I_H is generated by invariants of positive degree $\leq |G|$, see [DK02, Conjecture 3.8.6 (b)]. The following corollary shows that this conjectured bound can not be sharpened in general.

Corollary 4. *Let $\text{char } K = p$ and G a p -group (with $p > 0$), or a cyclic group, or $G = D_{2p^r}$ with p odd. Then there exists a G -module V such that I_H is not generated by homogeneous invariants of positive degree strictly less than $|G|$.*

Proof. In the proofs of the Propositions 8, 9 and 10 respectively, we constructed a G -module V and a non-zero $v \in V$ such that $f(v) = 0$ for all homogeneous $f \in \mathcal{O}(V)^G$ of positive degree strictly less than $|G|$, but such that there exists a homogeneous $f \in \mathcal{O}(V)^G$ of degree $|G|$ with $f(v) \neq 0$. This shows that $f \notin \mathcal{O}(V)_{+, < |G|}^G \mathcal{O}(V)$. $\square$

Now we use relative degree bounds for separating invariants and good degree bounds for generating invariants of non-modular groups, that appear as a subquotient, to get improved degree bounds for separating invariants in the modular case.

Proposition 11. *Let $\text{char } K = p$ and G be a finite group. Assume there exists a chain of subgroups $N \subset H \subset G$ such that N is a normal subgroup of H and such that H/N is non-cyclic of order s coprime to p . Then*

$$\beta_{\text{sep}}(G) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{3}{4}|G| & \text{in case } s \text{ is even} \\ \frac{5}{8}|G| & \text{in case } s \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. By SEZER [Sez02], for a non-cyclic non-modular group U , we have $\beta(U) \leq \frac{3}{4}|U|$ in case $|U|$ is even, and $\beta(U) \leq \frac{5}{8}|U|$ in case $|U|$ is odd. We now assume s is even; the other case is essentially the same. Since $\beta_{\text{sep}}(U) \leq \beta(U)$ always holds, we get by using Theorem 2

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{\text{sep}}(G) &\leq \beta_{\text{sep}}(H)[G : H] \leq \beta_{\text{sep}}(N)\beta_{\text{sep}}(H/N)[G : H] \\ &\leq \beta(H/N)[G : H]|N| \leq \frac{3}{4}[H : N][G : H]|N| = \frac{3}{4}|G|. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Example 1. Assume $p = 3$ and $G = A_4$. The Klein four group is a non-cyclic non-modular subgroup of even order. We get $\beta_{\text{sep}}(A_4) \leq \frac{3}{4}|A_4| = 9$. Application of Theorem 2 shows $\beta_{\text{sep}}(A_4 \times A_4) \leq \beta_{\text{sep}}(A_4)^2 \leq 81$.

Example 2. Let D_{2n} be the dihedral group of order $2n$. We know $n \leq \beta_{\text{sep}}(D_{2n})$ by Corollary 3. Assume $\text{char } K = p \neq 2$ and $n = p^r m$ with p, m coprime and $m > 1$. Then D_{2n} has the non-cyclic subgroup D_{2m} of even order, so $\beta_{\text{sep}}(D_{2n}) \leq \frac{3}{4}2n = \frac{3}{2}n$. So the only dihedral groups, to which the proposition above does not apply, are those of the form D_{2p^r} , which are covered by Proposition 10.

We end this section with two questions:

Question 1. *Which finite groups G satisfy $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G) = |G|$?*

Question 2. *Which finite groups G do not have a non-cyclic non-modular subquotient?*

The dihedral groups of Proposition 10 satisfy this property, and we get $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G) = |G|$ for those groups. But in characteristic 2, $\beta_{\text{sep}}(S_3) < |S_3|$ by Proposition 7, so the answer to the second question only partially helps to solve the first one.

REFERENCES

- [BCP97] Wieb Bosma, John Cannon, and Catherine Playoust, *The Magma algebra system. I. The user language*, J. Symbolic Comput. **24** (1997), no. 3-4, 235–265, Computational algebra and number theory (London, 1993). MR MR1484478
- [BK05] Roger M. Bryant and Gregor Kemper, *Global degree bounds and the transfer principle for invariants*, J. Algebra **284** (2005), no. 1, 80–90. MR MR2115005 (2005i:13007)
- [dCP76] C. de Concini and C. Procesi, *A characteristic free approach to invariant theory*, Advances in Math. **21** (1976), no. 3, 330–354. MR MR0422314 (54 #10305)
- [DH00] Mátyás Domokos and Pál Hegedűs, *Noether’s bound for polynomial invariants of finite groups*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **74** (2000), no. 3, 161–167. MR MR1739493 (2001a:13005)
- [DK02] Harm Derksen and Gregor Kemper, *Computational invariant theory*, Invariant Theory and Algebraic Transformation Groups, I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, 130. MR MR1918599 (2003g:13004)
- [DK04] H. Derksen and Gregor Kemper, *On global degree bounds for invariants*, Invariant theory in all characteristics, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, vol. 35, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004, pp. 37–41. MR MR2066457 (2005a:13011)
- [DKW08] Jan Draisma, Gregor Kemper, and David Wehlau, *Polarization of separating invariants*, Canad. J. Math. **60** (2008), no. 3, 556–571. MR MR2414957
- [Fle00] Peter Fleischmann, *The Noether bound in invariant theory of finite groups*, Adv. Math. **156** (2000), no. 1, 23–32. MR MR1800251 (2001k:13009)
- [Fog01] John Fogarty, *On Noether’s bound for polynomial invariants of a finite group*, Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc. **7** (2001), 5–7 (electronic). MR MR1826990 (2002a:13002)
- [Kem03] Gregor Kemper, *Computing invariants of reductive groups in positive characteristic*, Transform. Groups **8** (2003), no. 2, 159–176. MR MR1976458 (2004b:13006)
- [Kem09] ———, *Separating invariants*, J. Symbolic Comput. **44** (2009), no. 9, 1212–1222. MR MR2532166
- [MFK94] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty, and F. Kirwan, *Geometric invariant theory*, third ed., Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (2) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (2)], vol. 34, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994. MR MR1304906 (95m:14012)
- [New78] P. E. Newstead, *Introduction to moduli problems and orbit spaces*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Lectures on Mathematics and Physics, vol. 51, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1978. MR MR546290 (81k:14002)
- [Rob61] Michael Roberts, *On the Covariants of a Binary Quantic of the n^{th} Degree*, The Quarterly Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics **4** (1861), 168–178.
- [Sch91] Barbara J. Schmid, *Finite groups and invariant theory*, Topics in invariant theory (Paris, 1989/1990), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1478, Springer, Berlin, 1991, pp. 35–66. MR MR1180987 (94c:13002)
- [Sez02] Müfit Sezer, *Sharpening the generalized Noether bound in the invariant theory of finite groups*, J. Algebra **254** (2002), no. 2, 252–263. MR MR1933869 (2003h:13007)
- [Spr98] T. A. Springer, *Linear algebraic groups*, second ed., Progress in Mathematics, vol. 9, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1998. MR MR1642713 (99h:20075)
- [Weh06] David L. Wehlau, *The Noether number in invariant theory*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Soc. R. Can. **28** (2006), no. 2, 39–62. MR MR2257602 (2007h:13008)

ZENTRUM MATHEMATIK - M11, TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN, BOLZMANNSTRASSE 3,
D-85748 GARCHING, GERMANY

E-mail address: kohl@ma.tum.de

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, UNIVERSITÄT BASEL, RHEINSPRUNG 21, CH-4051 BASEL, SWITZERLAND

E-mail address: Hanspeter.Kraft@unibas.ch